

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,605	04/14/2004	Atsumasa Mizuno	1086.1199	5079
21171 7590 STAAS, & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER	
			SENSENIG, SHAUN D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	11, 50 2000		3629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/823,605 MIZUNO, ATSUMASA Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner Shaun Sensenia 3629 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.16 and 17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) none is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,16 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers

application from the International Bureau (P	CT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	ne certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Displayers Statement(s) (PTO/SE/C8)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 51 Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:
S, Patent and Trademark Office	

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ______.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

Application/Control Number: 10/823,605 Page 2

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to papers filed on September 5, 2008.

Claim 1 has been amended.

Claims 2-15 have been cancelled.

Claims 16 and 17 are new.

Claims 1, 16, and 17 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to nonstatutory subject matter. Based on Supreme Court precedent, a method/process claim must (1) be tied to another statutory class of invention (such as a particular apparatus) (see at least Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); Parker v. Flook, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); Cochrane v. Deener, 94 U.S. 780, 787-88 (1876)) or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing (see at least Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 71 (1972)). A method/process claim that fails to meet one of the above requirements is not in compliance with the statutory requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101 for patent eligible subject matter. Here claim 1 fails to meet the above requirements because the claims fail to tie in another statutory class of invention.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/823,605

Art Unit: 3629

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148
 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or popolyiousness.
- Claims 1, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Namiki et al. (Patent No. JP 2002175431 A) (hereafter referred to as Namiki).
- 5. In regards to Claims 1 and 17, Namiki discloses:

A support method for transition of service providers between portable telephone companies performed by a support server, comprising:

(a) receiving a request for transition from a before-transition portable telephone company to an after-transition portable telephone company while keeping a telephone number, from a customer terminal; ([Means for solving problem], "mediating a contract" shows the request for a new service, "acquiring contract information..." shows contract form previous company; [0053], shows the inputting of the phone number from the previous contract to the phone)

Application/Control Number: 10/823,605

Art Unit: 3629

(b) providing information about an after-transition service equivalent to a service contracted before transition to the customer terminal, the information being based on contents of the service contracted before the transition recovered using the telephone number included in the request, from a customer file that stores service contents information correlated with telephone numbers, and information on services provided by portable telephone companies registered in a service map file to the customer terminal; ([0060] and [0061]), shows the telephone number being used to retrieve content related to telephone number and services)

(c) registering contents of an after-transition service correlated with the telephone number in the customer file ([0084], shows contract information being saved for later reuse (customer file))

Namiki discloses all of the above limitations. Namiki does not explicitly disclose the before-transaction and after-transaction contracts being equivalent. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have included the before-transaction and after-transaction contracts being equivalent since it is common for customers to desire equal or better features and services when moving to a new service provider.

In regards to Claim 16, Namiki discloses:

A support method for transition of service providers between portable telephone companies performed by a support server, further comprising:

determining and notifying whether a customer qualifies for a service transition to the customer terminal, wherein the customer qualifies when customer information Application/Control Number: 10/823,605

Art Unit: 3629

recovered from the customer file using the telephone number contained in the request for transition satisfies predetermined criteria. ([0060], shows the telephone number being used to retrieve content related to telephone number and services and [0061], lines 1-4, shows the use of customer information (track record) to determine qualifications)

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shaun Sensenig whose telephone number is (571) 270-5393. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 7:30 to 5:00 ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571)272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/823,605 Page 6

Art Unit: 3629

/S. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3629 October 27, 2008

/John G. Weiss/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3629